



118

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18670-2025

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025

CHAMKOUR SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. P.S. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to decide his representation/legal notices (Annexures P-3 to P-5).

2. The petitioner No.1 joined as member of Punjab Home Guards and Civil Defense on 15.08.1990 and petitioners No.2 and 3 joined on 01.03.1991. The respondent suspended them. They preferred CWP No.13497 of 2003 before this Court assailing suspension order. They came to be discharged vide order dated 01.08.2003 on account of participating in hunger strike. Their writ petition was dismissed as infructuous vide order dated 08.04.2004.

3. The petitioners are claiming subsistence allowance in view of judgment dated 10.01.2025 passed by this Court in ***CWP No.11357 of 2021*** titled as '***Mahinder Ram Vs. Commandant General, Punjab Home***



Guards and others'. They have filed representations in view of aforesaid judgment but to no avail. The petitioners were discharged from service vide order dated 01.08.2003. They are claiming benefit of judgment dated 10.01.2025 passed by this Court in **Mahinder Ram (supra)**. The said judgment has not created right in favour of those persons who were discharged 20 years back. The claim of petitioner is not sustainable and is misconceived.

4. Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.07.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No