



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35801-2025

Date of decision: 25.09.2025

PARUL KHAMBRA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.222 dated 21.06.2025 under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338 and 340 BNS, registered at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

2. On 27.08.2025, following order had been passed: -

" The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.222 dated 21.06.2025 registered under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338 and 340 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station City Tohana, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case by the Government officials of Tohana, District Fatehabad in collusion with other residents of the colony where the family members of the petitioner are residing. He has further submitted that the present FIR has been registered on the complaint of Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Tohana, whereby, he alleged that upon inquiry it was found that the petitioner had forged and manipulated Will



No.3656 dated 11.01.2017 for personal gain by altering material particulars specially by replacing the name 'Sheela Devi' with his own and changing Plot No.57 to 57'A/PAN' for the purpose of wrongful ownership claim.

Referring to the transfer deed dated 11.01.2017 (Annexure P-3 colly), learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that the allegation of altering the plot number from 57 to 57A is false, as plot No.57A is already a part of the registered Transfer Deed. He has further contended that property tax qua the said property is regularly being paid by the family of the petitioner since long. The conclusion reached at by the Executive Officer that the petitioner has encroached upon the Municipal land, is without any basis as no demarcation has been conducted. Even no show-cause notice has ever been issued to the petitioner to remove any alleged encroachment. He further argued that nothing is to be effected from the possession of the petitioner. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. While referring to the status report, it is argued that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant has also opposed the prayer of bail by submitting that the entire family of the petitioner is involved in the fraud and the petitioner along with other accused have connived with each other and changed the plot number in the deeds/Wills.

List on 25.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 27.08.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from S.I. Ram Kishan, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 27.08.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

25.09.2025
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |