

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38819-2024
Date of decision: 03.04.2025

PARAMJIT KAUR AND ORS.Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Kulwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

Mr. M.K. Dhot, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
85	28.07.2017	406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC	City Dhuri, District Sangrur

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between



the parties. Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dhuri, vide report dated 01.04.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 21.03.2025, an amount of Rs.20000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dhuri, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 85 dated 28.07.2017, under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

03.04.2025

puneet

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
- ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE