



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

274

**CRM-M-38921-2024**  
**Date of decision: 28.08.2025**

INDER PARTAP SINGH

**...Petitioner**

## Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

## ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present : Mr. Namit Guatam, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manjinder Singh Bhullar, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Ishita Negi, Advocate for  
Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

**SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)**

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 13.05.2024, effected between the parties.

### DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

| <b>FIR No.</b> | <b>Date</b>       | <b>Section(s)</b>             | <b>Police Station</b>               |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>138</b>     | <b>04.12.2023</b> | <b>323, 324, 506, 451 IPC</b> | <b>Division no.1,<br/>Jalandhar</b> |

2. Counsel for the petitioner contends that Respondent No.3-Rajni Bala,



was impleaded as a party subsequent to the filing of present petition, therefore, her statement needs to be recorded in regard to the compromise relied upon by the parties.

3. Ms. Ishita Negi, Advocate appearing for Respondents No.2 and 3 submits that for the said purpose, her client is ready to give statement in regard to the compromise, as the dispute has also been resolved by her.

4. Vide order dated 12.08.2024, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

5. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Jalandhar in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

6. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

| Sr. No. | Description                                                                                 |     |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 1.      | Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR                        | One |
| 2.      | Number of complainant/victim(s)                                                             | One |
| 3.      | Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same | Yes |



|    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                 |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 4. | In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person | No                              |
| 5. | Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication                                                                                                              | No                              |
| 6. | Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence                                                                                                                                                              | Yes                             |
| 7. | Any other aspect relevant to the present case.                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Nothing worth noticing reported |

7. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and the private respondents are the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

8. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

9. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of

compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

10.           Petition stands disposed of.

28.08.2025  
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

|                            |   |        |
|----------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned. | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable.        | : | Yes/No |