



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP-7235-2025

Date of decision: 09.07.2025

ASHA KUMARI AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ved Parkash, Advocate, for the petitioners.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from private respondents No.4 and 5. It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners being major, got married against the wishes of private respondents on 10.06.2025. Ever since then, the petitioners are being threatened with dire consequences by the private respondents. Resultantly, a representation/complaint dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) was also made to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, District Panchkula, wherein a mention has been made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel further submits that despite the



official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no concrete steps have been taken to safeguard the petitioners. Learned counsel also submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, District Panchkula to look into their representation/complaint dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court Ms.Ankita Ahuja, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula, District Panchkula, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

July 09, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No