



**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19270-2025

Date of Decision: 30.07.2025

JAGMAL SINGH

... PETITIONER

VS.

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Mr. J.S.Saneta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Piyush Khanna, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate
Mr. Divyanshul Kaushik, Advocate, and
Ms. Simran Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent(s).

ROHIT KAPOOR, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has supplied the copy of Litigation Policy dated 11.07.2025 in Court today and the same is taken on record. He draws the attention of the Court to Clause 5 (B) of the Litigation Policy which provides detailed mechanism of Grievance Redressal System. He submits that in view thereof, the petitioner has an effective alternate remedy and he should be relegated to the same.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner does not controvert the said position, however, he contends that the issue of limitation, if any, should not be taken against the petitioner on account of the fact that he had already approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition.

3. The said prayer is not opposed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

4. In view thereof, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to raise his grievance in consonance with the Litigation Policy dated 11.07.2025. It is expected that the grievance of the petitioner shall be decided by the concerned authorities as expeditiously as possible.

5. Disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

30.07.2025

smriti

(ROHIT KAPOOR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No