

2025.PHHC.149711



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

307

CRM-M-35217-2025

Date of decision: October 30, 2025

BALDEV SINGH @ HARDEV SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Ms. Ravisha Mahajan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravneet Singh Lekhi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for quashing of DDR No.29 dated 28.12.2024, under Sections 125, 351(2), 194(2), 193(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.186 dated 27.12.2024 under Sections 194(2), 326(b), 125, 3(5) of BNS and Sections 27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran, along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on basis of compromise dated 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-4).

2. This Court vide order dated 11.09.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report *qua* the genuineness of the compromise.

**CRM-M-35217-2025****-2-**

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Tarn Taran and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report endorsed by learned District and Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran vide Endst. No.8332-A-R dated 15.10.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served by continuing the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed, and DDR No.29 dated 28.12.2024, under Sections 125, 351(2), 194(2), 193(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 27/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Annexure P-2) in FIR No.186 dated 27.12.2024 under Sections 194(2), 326(b), 125, 3(5) of BNS and Sections 27/54/59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sirhali, District Tarn Taran, is quashed *qua* the petitioners, along with all other consequential

proceedings arising therefrom, on basis of compromise/Agreement dated 20.06.2025 (Annexure P-4).

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of along with the present petition.

October 30, 2025

*Jaspreet Kaur*

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No