



CWP-17984-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(236)

CWP-17984-2023

Date of Decision : 17.07.2025

Amarjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Harish Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for respondent No.2.

None for respondents No.3 and 4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order dated 11.04.2022 (Annexure P-1), as passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Ludhiana.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners, fairly concedes that the instant petition is a misconceived motion, as the impugned order can be assailed, by filing a statutory appeal, under Section 29 of the Domestic Violence Act, 2005. Therefore, the petitioners may be relegated to the authorities concerned, to avail the statutory remedy.

3. The asked for prayer of the petitioners is accepted.



CWP-17984-2023

2

4. In case, the petitioners approaches the learned Appellate Authority concerned, by filing a statutory appeal, within a period of 30 days, from the date of receipt of copy of this order, along with an application for condonation of delay, the latter shall consider the fact that the petitioners has maintained the instant misconceived motion before this Court, while adjudicating the application for condonation of delay, and shall decide the application sympathetically.

5. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

July 17, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No