



CRM-M-34840-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-34840-2025
Decided on: 25.09.2025

Sangeeta Rani
.....Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sangeeta Rani	309	10.07.2024	420, 465, 467, 468, 471/34 IPC	Civil Lines Sirsa	Sirsa

2. On 07.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
------------------------------	---------	------	------------	----------------	----------



Sangeeta Rani	309	10.07.2024	420, 467, 471/34 IPC	465, 468,	Civil Lines Sirsa	Sirsa
---------------	-----	------------	----------------------	-----------	-------------------	-------

2. *The allegation against the petitioner is that, after being released on regular bail in an NDPS case FIR No.547, dated 01.09.2023, granted by learned Special Court, Sirsa, vide order dated 25.09.2023, she was required to furnish the bail bonds and one surety. However, forged documents were allegedly submitted by the surety. It is contended that undoubtedly the petitioner may have been the ultimate beneficiary of the bail, but there is no material to suggest that she participated in the conspiracy, if any, with the surety, because at the time of submission of the documents, petitioner was already inside jail. There being no such allegations that sitting inside jail, in any specific manner, petitioner succeeded in hatching conspiracy by sharing it with the person who submitted the surety/security documents, no offence can be attributed to her. Thus, prays for grant of anticipatory bail.*

3. *Notice of motion*

4. *Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court on advance copy, accepts notice and seeks time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report.*

5. *Adjourned to 25.09.2025.*

6. *In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*



7. *Besides, the petitioner would submit/surrender her passport before the Arresting Officer and an undertaking on oath that in case of leaving the Country, she would seek prior permission from the Investigating Agency/concerned Court”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner in compliance of the order dated 07.07.2025 passed by this Court, has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Sanjeev Balhara, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sira, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 08.08.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 07.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

**CRM-M-34840-2025****4**

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

25.09.2025

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**