



CRWP-7172-2025 (O&M)

120

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7172-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 07.07.2025

CHETAN JAIN

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Vir Singh Behl, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, with the following substantive prayer:

“It is therefore most respectfully prayed, that the present petition may kindly be allowed and issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.1 to 3 to safeguard life and liberty of the petitioner as the petitioner is receiving criminal threats from unknown persons who had entered into the premises of the petitioner’s father office on 28.06.2025 by portraying themselves to be the officials of Rajasthan Police and further demanded money and in failure of which they had threatened the petitioner for falsely implicating in fake cases at Rajasthan and further threatened his life and liberty, thus, the kind indulgence of this Hon’ble Court is prayed for.

And

It is further prayed for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to act upon the representations (Annexures P-5 and P-6) given by the petitioner in regard to the instant case which is pending adjudication before the official respondents and has not been decided yet, thus, the kind indulgence of this Hon’ble Court is prayed for, in the interest of justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner limits his prayer and submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued

CRWP-7172-2025 (O&M)

to respondent No.2 to consider and take a conscious decision on the representations (Annexures P-5 and P-6) made by the petitioner in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the strength of the advance notice, Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Senior DAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. He submits he has no objection in case the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, is accepted.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and have perused the paper-book with their assistance.
6. Keeping in view the entirety of facts and circumstances of the case; without going into merits thereof, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Malerkotla (respondent No.2 herein) to look into the representations (Annexures P-5 and P-6) moved by the petitioner; objectively consider the grievance(s) raised in the said representations; take ratiocinated decision thereupon after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from today. Such decision be communicated to the petitioner within fifteen days of it being taken.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

07.07.2025
Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No