



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CWP-23638-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.11.2025

Sandeep Mahajan and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Manmohan Kaur, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing advertisement dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-18) issued by respondent No.2 whereby applications have been invited for recruitment to non-teaching posts on regular basis even though the selection of the petitioners was through proper channel and they are in continuous service for more than 10 years and the respondents are not considering their case for regularization.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submits that the instant petition has been rendered infructuous as the advertisement dated 29.07.2019 (Annexure P-18) has already been cancelled during pendency of the present petition and the services of the petitioners have

been regularized. One such regularization order of petitioner No.3 has been produced in the Court which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that although the services of the petitioners have been regularized, however, the same have been regularized prospectively whereas the same ought to have been regularized from the earlier date. He seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to make a detailed representation to the respondents for redressal of the said grievance.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

5. If any such representation is submitted by the petitioners within a period of one month from today, the same shall be considered and decided by the competent authority within a period of four months from the date of its receipt and a copy of the speaking order be communicated to the representationists thereafter.

6. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

28.11.2025

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No