



COCP-2409-2021 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-2409-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 25, 2025

Shyam Jain (since deceased) through LR's and ors.Petitioners

Vs.

Ajit Bala Joshi and ors.Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Chanderhans Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondents.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (Oral)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 17.02.2014 passed by this Court in CWP-2908-2014.
2. The operative part of order dated 17.02.2014 passed by this Court in CWP-2908-2014 is reproduced as under:-:-

“Consequently, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondents to issue the public advertisement within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order; invite claim of eligible oustees including the petitioner and thereafter decide their claim strictly in accordance with the policy within two months of the last date for submission of application by the oustee-applicants.”

3. In compliance of the above order, additional affidavit dated 13.09.2024 of Ms. Renu Sogan, Administrator, Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran, Gurugram has been filed on behalf of respondents. The relevant portion of the same reads as under:-

**COCPP-2409-2021 (O&M)**

“8. That the meeting of the oustee screening committee was held on 12.09.2024 for deciding the claim of the present petitioner. A copy of the proceeding of the meeting dated 12.09.2024 is attached herewith as Annexure R-IV. As per the decision of the oustee screening committee the claim of the petitioner is not maintainable and is rejected by oustee screening committee as per as per clause (iv) of HSVP policy issued vide Memo No. S-2-92/2082 dated 18.03.1992 and vide Memo No. A-11P-93/7996-8013 dated 12.03.1993. With regard to earnest money deposited by the petitioner quo advertisement issued on 15.06.2014 the same will be refunded as per HSVP policy. In view thereof the claim of the present petitioner has been decided and the same has been communicated to her vide speaking order Endst No.1861-63 dated 12.09.2024 (Annexure R-V).”

4. In view of the above, order dated 17.02.2014 passed by this Court in CWP-2908-2014 has already been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, the contempt is purged. Rule is *discharged*.

6. Needless to say that petitioners can avail any other remedy as is available to them, in accordance with law, to challenge the speaking order dated 12.09.2024.

8. Pending application (s) if any also stands disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

September 25, 2025

Gaurav Arora

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No