

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:080872



(108)

CRWP-7197-2025

Decided on :08.07.2025

VINITA & ANOTHER

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Ritesh Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

i). Issue a Crl. writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondent No. 4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directing the respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondent No. 4 to 12 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner and for issuance of any other appropriate order or direction to which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case as made out hereunder;

ii). For issuance of any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case; xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police,

Mahendergarh) to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 19.06.2025 made by the petitioners (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.

3. Notice of motion, at this stage, to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only
4. On the strength of advance copy, Mr. Aashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents. He has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of their representation dated 19.06.2025 (Annexure P-3), is directed for.
5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

- (i) Respondent No.2 (Senior Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh) is mandated to look into the representation dated 19.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioners, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 7 days from today. It is hoped and expected that the said authority (Senior Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh) shall take a pragmatic decision and requisite consequential steps accordingly, as per law.
- (ii) No order as to costs.

July 08, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No