



CWP-29820-2018 (O&amp;M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP-29820-2018 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision :27.10.2025

Madan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Senior Panel Counsel  
for respondent-UOI.

\* \* \*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)**

1. In the present petition, challenge is to the impugned order dated 01.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the respondent-Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') by which, six original applications were decided and the relief of ACP claimed by the petitioner(s) was declined, against which order, the present petition has been filed.

2. The same order dated 01.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Tribunal was challenged before the High Court of Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh as the Tribunal enjoys the jurisdiction over the State of Jammu & Kashmir as well. The impugned order dated 01.06.2018 (Annexure P/4) passed by the Tribunal in Original Application No.061/00081/2017 *titled as Bishamber Dass Valveman and others vs. Union of India and others* has already been set aside by the Division Bench of High Court of Jammu &

Kashmir and Ladakh at Jammu while passing order in **SWP-2450-2018**



CWP-29820-2018 (O&M) -2-

**titled as Puran Lal and others vs. Central Administrative Tribunal,**

**Chandigarh and others decided on 09.10.2024** and the said relief of ACP

has already been granted to the similarly situated employee whose claim was also rejected by the same impugned order giving the same reasons.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has not been able to controvert the said factual position.

4. Once, the same order dated 01.06.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Tribunal which order has been impugned herein, has already been set aside by the Division Bench of the High Court of Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh at Jammu, same has to be taken into consideration for the purpose of proper adjudication. Hence, the present petition is also allowed in terms of the order passed by the Division Bench of High Court of Jammu & Kashmir and Ladakh at Jammu in **SWP-2450-2018 titled as Puran Lal and others vs. Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh and others decided on 09.10.2024.**

5. The respondents-UOI are directed to implement the judgment passed in **Puran Lal's case (supra)** qua the petitioner herein, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

**October 27, 2025**

*aarti*

**(VIKAS SURI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes*

*Whether reportable : No*