



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-1530-2025 IN/AND
CRM-M-38761-2024
Date of Decision: 20.01.2025**

Mandeep ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : **Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat**

Present : Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar Banku, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Anjali, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-1530-2025

Prayer in the present application filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S for preponment of the main case.

The prayer is allowed and the case is preponed to today. The main case is taken up on Board today itself.

Main case

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of B.N.S.S for quashing of an FIR No. 138, dated 27.04.2024 under Sections 341/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed and compromise affidavit dated 03.08.2024

2. Vide order dated 09.08.2024 while issuing notice of motion, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise dated 03.08.2024 (Annexure P-2 and P-3).

3. Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak and got their statements recorded. Report dated 04.10.2024 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioner.

6. Resultantly, FIR No. 138, dated 27.04.2024 under Sections 341/506 of IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak, District Rohtak (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

20.01.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE