



276

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38176-2024**

Date of Decision: **09.01.2025**

Vatan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**

**Present:** Mr. Sameer Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Bharat Pilonia, Advocate for  
Mr. Vikas Nagpal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.363 dated 06.06.2024 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise dated 04.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) and affidavit dated 04.08.2024 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties.

2. The following order was passed on 07.08.2024 by the Co-ordinate Bench:-

*“The petitioners have approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.*

*Notice of motion for 09.01.2025.*

*At this stage, Mr. Vikas Nagpal, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.*

*The parties are directed to move an application before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned for getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such application is moved and put up before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, the trial Court/Illaq*

*Magistrate shall consider the said application and do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise, on any convenient date. After recording the statements of all the affected parties, and upon getting requisite information from Investigating Officer, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded, broadly on the following aspects:*

*(i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioner, arrayed in this petition.*

*(ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition.*

*(iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?*

*(iv) Whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion?*

*The report be submitted before this Court on or before the next date.*

3. In compliance of the above order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.363 dated 06.06.2024 under Sections 148/149/323/506 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal, Haryana (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

**09.01.2025**

Parveen kumar

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No  
Whether reportable :Yes/No