

2025:PHHC:065331



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CWP-29674-2018 (O & M)
Date of decision: 15.05.2025

Rajesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that claim of the petitioner was for grant of selection grade in the pay scale of Rs.10300-34800+3600 on completion of 5 years service. He refers to Annexure P-1, dated 10.07.2023, the order by which his services were regularized which had a condition of passing the Punjabi type test for release of increments, which he duly cleared, vide Annexure P-2, dated 14.11.2006, however, his claim has been rejected, vide impugned order dated 13.05.2016, on the ground that there were adverse remarks, rather ACR, Annexure P-7, is graded as 'very good', but for only a remark, he not having the knowledge of computer. He further submits that reference in the written statement filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, dated 07.07.2022, to Annexure R-3 is with regard to the evaluation for grant of ACP and not for selection grade,

even if that is taken into consideration, still as per it as well requirement is of 50% of ACRs to be good, which the petitioner admittedly has. He prays his case be re-considered taking into consideration the aforesaid in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to have a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the aforesaid submissions, within a period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reasons, whereupon she shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

15.05.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No