



211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34835-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.07.2025

Madan Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr.Devender Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'the BNSS'), for grant of
bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.178 dated 09.09.2021,
under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short
'IPC') [Sections 120-B, 409, 201 of the IPC and Sections 66-C & 66-D
of the Information Technology Act, 2000 added later on], registered at
Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal.

2. Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate of
petitioner dated 09.07.2025, which is taken on record. Registry to tag
the same at appropriate place.

3. Allegations are that petitioner along with co-accused
misused his credentials to submit fake application forms, resulting in
financial loss to the Government.



4. Contends that petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2025; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr.P.C.') was presented on 14.05.2025, but charges are yet to be considered; thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time. Lastly contends that no other criminal case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel is not able to dispute the above factual position after obtaining instructions from the quarter concerned, but opposed the prayer on the premise that allegations are serious in nature.

6. Heard both sides and perused the paper-book.

7. Concededly, petitioner is in custody since 17.03.2025; after investigation, final report under Section 173 of Cr.P.C. was presented on 14.05.2025, but charges are yet to be considered; thus, trial is likely to take sufficient long time. As such, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed; petitioner shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.



11. It is clarified that in case there is recurrence or any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

11.07.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No