



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

CRWP-7198-2025**Date of decision: 09.07.2025****JAGJIWAN SINGH**

...Petitioner

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present: Mr.J.S.Bains, Advocate, for the petitioner.

H.S.GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking a direction to the official respondents No.2 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and from being falsely implicated in criminal case at the instance of respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent No.4-Sukhwinder Singh was already married and without taking divorce, has allured the daughter of the petitioner and got married with her on 19.06.2025 without the consent of the petitioner at a Gurdwara Chheratha, District Amritsar. However, in the marriage certificate Annexure P-3 issued by the Gurdwara Sangatpura, it is mentioned that the marriage has been performed with the consent of both the parties and in their presence which is factually incorrect. Resultantly, for redressal of his grievance, the petitioner has moved a representation/complaint dated 28.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar, District Amritsar, wherein a mention has been



made about the alleged threat perception. Learned counsel further submits that despite the official respondents having been communicated about the alleged threat perception, no concrete steps have been taken to safeguard the petitioners. Learned counsel also submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar, District Amritsar, to look into their representation/complaint dated 28.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

4. At the asking of the Court Mr.Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural), Amritsar, District Amritsar to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondent.

July 09, 2025
poonam

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No