



CWP-29572-2018

1

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-29572-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Harpreet Singh and Anr.

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to issue the appointment letters to the petitioners being in the final merit list issued in pursuance to the Advertisement No.1 dated 23.10.2006.

2. Learned State Counsel refers to para No.6 of the reply dated 20.09.2019 filed by way of an affidavit of Assistant Director Office of DPI, (Secondary Education) Punjab dated 20.09.2019, taking plea of representation being belated having been filed after 12 years and on merits stating that the petitioners were at merit No.39.91 and 38.51 respectively while that of the last selected candidate Gurmit Singh in the BC Category was 46.27 and thus the petitioners could not find place therein against 7 posts made in the said category, the higher in merit than that had already



CWP-29572-2018

2

joined the allotted stations, to which there is no rebuttal by way of filing replication, relevant para whereof reads thus:

“In reply to the contents of para number 7 of the present writ petition, it is submitted that as per the merit list received from the C-DAC, there were 43 candidates belonging to the BC Category. The department issued appointment letters to 36 candidates out of these 43 candidates but the petitioners did not fall in the merit of these 36 candidates. The list of 36 candidates was also published in the leading newspaper namely “**The Hindustan Times**” dated 19.11.2006. Thereafter, the department advertised backlog of 260 posts of PTIs in the year 2007. The present petitioners again applied in these 260 backlog posts in the BC category and here again the petitioners could not find place in the merit list of these of 07 posts meant for the BC category. The remaining 07 candidates out of 43 posts in the BC category were also issued appointment letters being higher in merit than the petitioners and accordingly they have already joined the allotted stations. That it is cleared from the position explained above that all the 43 posts meant for BC category stands filled now and the claim of the petitioners is not sustainable. Detailed reply is given above in the preliminary submission which is reiterated here.”

3. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

20.02.2025

poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No