



CRM-36129-2024 IN/AND -1-
CRM-A-1213-2024

260 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-36129-2024 IN/AND
CRM-A-1213-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 10.03.2025

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

GOVINDA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl.P.P., for U.T., Chandigarh,
for the applicant-appellant.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

1. There is an inordinate delay of 548 days in filing the accompanying appeal. The only explanation offered by U.T., of Chandigarh for condonation of the said delay reads as under:-

XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX

“3 .That after passing of the order dated 03.09.2022, the same was applied and was received and thereafter was supplied to the concerned department. Thereafter, the Department after seeking legal opinion decided to file the present appeal. It is further submitted that thereafter, the whole documents and the file from the records were collected and now filing the present appeal before this Hon’ble Court. In this process a delay of 540 days in filing the appeal has occurred, which is neither intentional nor wilful, rather bona fide one.”

XXXX XXXX XXXX XXXX



CRM-36129-2024 IN/AND -2-
CRM-A-1213-2024

2. As per the afore-quoted paragraph No. 3 of the application, the explanation offered for condoning the inordinate delay of 548 days in filing of the appeal is found to be vague. U.T. of Chandigarh has miserably failed to explain the delay in filing of the appeal. Therefore, the application seeking condonation of delay is dismissed. Consequently, the application seeking leave to appeal also meets the same fate.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2025 (HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
nitin JUDGE

Whether Speaking	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No