



CWP-18554-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

CWP-18554-2025

Date of decision : 07.08.2025

Vicky Ahuja

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present:- Mr. Kunwan Rajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
Ms. Ashna Singh, Advocate for the respondent/HSVP.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to the respondents to pay interest for the delay in handing over the possession.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had been re-allotted a plot in the year 2025 from the allottee, namely, Bharat Bhushan Dudeja, who had paid the entire amount and therefore, interest for the delay in handing over the possession of the plot be awarded to the petitioner who has stepped into the shoes of the earlier allottee.

3. Heard.

4. It is apparent that the petitioner is the 5th purchaser of plot No.764, Sector 52, Gurugram, which had been originally allotted to one-Ramesh Kumar on 28.07.2003. The said plot was re-allotted to Babita Chawla on 26.07.2004, then re-allotted to Manohar Vasudev on 02.05.2005 and thereafter on 08.06.2006, it was re-allotted to Bharat Bhushan Dudeja and finally re-allotted to the petitioner on 28.05.2025.



CWP-18554-2025

-2-

5. As per the terms and conditions of the re-allotment letter (Annexure P-1), the petitioner was restrained from raising any dispute in respect of the interest paid by the transferor on the delayed payment of installments/enhanced compensation/possession interest in respect of plot/building. The relevant Clause 19(ii) of the re-allotment letter dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) is reproduced hereunder:-

“You shall not raise any dispute in respect of the interest paid by the transferor on the delayed payment of installments/enhanced compensation/possession interest in respect of plot/building as per policy of the Authority decided from time to time.”

6. The petitioner had been re-allotted the aforesaid plot on 28.05.2025 and he had duly accepted the terms and conditions of the allotment letter, therefore, later he cannot turn around and claim interest from the date, the original allottee had paid the consideration amount in the year 2003. If at all, anybody was aggrieved or entitled to interest for the delay in handing over the possession, it would be the original allottee, who had made the payment.

7. Consequently, we do not find any merit in this petition which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

August 07, 2025
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No