



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CWP-4157-2017

Date of Decision: 07.11.2025

AMARNATH

...Petitioner

Vs.

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 15.09.2025, the following order was passed by this
Court:-

“The petitioner is claiming benefit of ACP. His claim was declined by respondent on 20.06.2016 on the ground that work charge period cannot be counted for ACP Scheme. As per respondent ACP Scheme dated 03.11.2006 clearly provides that service in cadre has to be taken into count for benefit. The petitioner was initially appointed as Beldar and thereafter appointed as work charge w.e.f. 21.12.1984. He was regularized in February’ 2007. The period of work charge cannot be counted for the purpose of ACP.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner seeks two weeks’ time to bring on record legal representatives on record.

Adjourned to 08.10.2025.

It is made clear that if no application is filed, matter would be adjudicated on the basis of available record.”

2. There is no application as contemplated by aforesaid order.
- Further, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
3. Dismissed with liberty to petitioner to move an appropriate application within three months from today, if cause survives.
4. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

November 07, 2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No