

**CWP-18138-2025 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(124) CWP-18138-2025
Date of Decision : July 14, 2025****R.K. Kaushal @ Rakesh Kaushal and others .. Petitioners****Versus****State of Punjab and others .. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate and
 Mr. Subhash Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

 Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners have not been given their entitled salary according to the 6th Pay Commission which came into being w.e.f. 01.01.2016, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the petitioners in the representation dated 26.07.2023 (Annexure P-5) which representation was addressed to the Agriculture Minister, Government of Punjab, Chandigarh and has already been marked to the competent authority i.e. respondent No.3, which representation is still pending consideration with the respondent No.3 and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a

**CWP-18138-2025 2**

time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.3. to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, learned Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 26.07.2023 (Annexure P-5) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioners, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 14, 2025
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No