



**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9653-2016

Date of decision: 17.11.2025

Randhir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents for releasing the benefits of leave encashment of the petitioner which has been withheld without any reason along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Despite the case having been called twice, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that neither the petitioner nor his counsel is interested in pursuing the present petition.

3. Under these circumstances, having left with no other option, the present petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution accordingly.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

17.11.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No