



CWP-29052-2018

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(218)

CWP-29052-2018

Date of Decision : 21.01.2025

Hardeep Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

DHBVNL and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shubham Pathania, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate
for respondents No.1, 3 and 4.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.2 and 5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, a prayer is made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents No.3 and 5, to grant the compensation of Rs.30 *lacs*, to the petitioners, on account of death of one, Parvinder Singh, husband of the petitioner No.1, who died due to electrocution.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents No.1,3 and 4, informs this Court, that during the pendency of the instant writ petition, the respondent No.1-DHBVNL, has granted the compensation, to the petitioners to the tune of Rs.7,02,160/-, vide order dated 26.06.2019.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the compensation granted is inadequate, and is not in accordance with the policy adopted by the respondent No.1-DHBVNL, and has made the request to grant liberty to the



CWP-29052-2018

2

petitioners, to challenge the order (supra).

4. The prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is strongly opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents, to submit that the petitioner No.1, has specifically given an affidavit, that she will withdraw the instant writ petition, in case, the sanctioned amount is given to her.

5. Be that as it may, whether, the petitioners can challenge the order dated 26.06.2019, granting compensation, on account of 'inadequate compensation' specifically, in view of the affidavit furnished by the petitioner No.1, can only be adjudicated in apt motion. Therefore, this Court refrains itself to adjudicate this issue, in the instant motion.

6. So far as the instant petition is concerned, since the compensation has already been granted to the petitioners, therefore, no further mandamus is required to be passed in the instant writ petition, and the same is hereby **closed**.

7. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners, in case, the petitioners still have any grievance to the order (supra), granting the inadequate compensation, the petitioners can take apt recourse of law.

8. **Disposed of** accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

January 21, 2025
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No