



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237

CRM-M-34784-2025
Decided on : 18.08.2025

Uday Kumar Singh . . . Petitioner(s)
Versus
State of Haryana . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Matinder Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned State counsel has filed the status report dated 21.07.2025 and custody certificate dated 14.08.2025 in Court today, which are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

Copies thereof have been handed over to the opposite counsel.

2. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Uday Kumar Singh	302	11.12.2024	420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC (S. 120-B of IPC, added in challan)	Arya Nagar Rohtak	Rohtak

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was facing incarceration in case FIR No.378, dated 06.09.2022, under Section 20(b)



(ii)(c) of the NDPS Act, 1985, registered at Police Station IMT Rohtak, District Rohtak. In said FIR, petitioner was granted 07 days interim bail, w.e.f. 11.02.2023 to 17.02.2023, by the then Coordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 10.02.2023, passed in CRM-M-6470-2023, titled as, “Uday Kumar Singh v. State of Haryana” (Annexure P-1).

4. Allegation against the petitioner is that after being released on interim bail in pursuance to the order dated 10.02.2023 (P-3), passed by the Coordinate Bench, for the purpose of extension of the said interim bail period, petitioner sought extension on the basis of forged medical certificate. It was revealed out that the medical certificate relied upon by the petitioner and submitted before the Court is forged one, present case, i.e., FIR No.302, dated 11.12.2024, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC (Section 120-B of IPC added in challan), registered at P.S. Arya Nagar Rohtak, District Rohtak.

5. Learned counsel contends that after registration of the case, he has already suffered incarceration of more than 04 months period and except of the aforementioned two cases, no other case has been registered against the petitioner. The investigation in the present case is complete and the challan has been submitted. Further the offences are triable by the Court of Ld. Magistrate and conclusion of trial shall take considerable time.

Apart the aforementioned, at this stage, nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner's possession and the case would much depend upon the documentary, scientific and electronic evidence.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. However, he is unable to controvert any of the factual submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner or those recorded here-above.



7. Considering the totality of circumstances, and the submissions addressed by both sides, and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

8. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

9. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

10. The observation made here-in-above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case and the Trial Court is expected to decide the case on the basis of complete evidence available on record.

11. Petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 18, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No