



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-9519-2016

Date of decision: 03.02.2025

SUKHWINDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present case, the challenge is against the order dated 26.08.2015 (Annexure P-15) by which, the information (marriage certificate) sought by the petitioner has been supplied to him and he was satisfied.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was never satisfied by the information provided and therefore, the petitioner is entitled for the legible copy of the marriage certificate dated 25.08.2004.



3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.2 & 3 submits that whatever information was available in the official file has been provided to the petitioner.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

5. Whatever record was available with the respondents has already been supplied. Merely because the certificate was not legible, does not entail that the respondents have the responsibility to create a fresh one and give it to the petitioner.

6. Further, a bare perusal of the order dated 26.08.2015 would show that when the record was summoned and shown to the petitioner, he was satisfied. The said finding has not been rebutted by the learned counsel for the petitioner. Once it has been shown that the petitioner was satisfied, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

03.02.2025

Nisha Yadav

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No