

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:081698



111

CRWP-7249-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025.

Leela Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J.

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the illegal harassment and threats extended by respondent No.3 at the behest of respondents No.4 to 15, for pressurizing the petitioner to withdraw representation dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P3); with further direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioner is a senior citizen and is a cancer patient. The petitioner is owner in possession of a plot situated in village Kot Sadar Khan, Tehsil Dharamkot, District Moga, vide judgment and decree dated 12.10.2022 (Annexure P-1). Despite the said decree of the Court, private respondents in connivance with the local police are continuously attempting to dispossess the petitioner from the said plot and the petitioner had lodged several complaints regarding these incidents including specific incident dated 09.09.2024 when the private respondents forcibly entered into the property of the petitioner with tractors

and damaged boundary walls by threatening him and his family members with death, but when no action was taken, the petitioner sent another representation on 10.06.2025. Thereafter, on 18.06.2025, the petitioner was summoned by the police on the pretext of recording his statement but he was made to sit there for the whole day and no such statement was recorded. Two police constables in a distinctly intimidating tone explicitly advised the petitioner to withdraw his representation. At around 5:00 P.M. the petitioner was asked to leave and to appear again on the following day, if required.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would be satisfied if the representation dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-3), which had already been moved by the petitioner was looked into.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and submitted that she has no objection if the aforesaid representation dated 10.06.2025 (Annexure P-3) is looked into.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga, Punjab, to look into the aforesaid representation and to take appropriate action as per law, if any required, at the earliest.

Disposed of.

(SUKHVINDER KAUR)
JUDGE

09.07.2025.

Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/ No
Whether reportable?	:	Yes/ No