

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-395-2025

Date of decision: 22.12.2025

CAMEY SYNTHETICS PRIVATE LIMITED

...Applicant(s)

VERSUS

TESLA POWER INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate
for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present application has been filed under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes and differences which have arisen between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that in the appointment letter which has been annexed with the present application as Annexure P-1, there is a clause pertaining to arbitration i.e. Clause 10, which provides that in case of any dispute or difference between the parties, the same shall be settled amicably and failing such an attempt, the dispute shall be referred to arbitration in accordance with the provisions of the Act of 1996. He further submitted that talks for amicable settlement between the parties had failed and thereafter, the




applicant invoked the aforesaid arbitration clause by issuing a notice dated 08.01.2025 (Annexure P-7) but no response was received from the respondents and therefore, the present application has been filed seeking appointment of an independent Arbitrator.

3. The respondent or his counsel is not present in the Court. A perusal of the order dated 07.07.2025 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court would show that notice of motion was issued with process *dasti* as well and on 14.10.2025, Mr. Raghav Bimal, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent through video conferencing and sought time to file reply and address arguments. As per the report of the Registry dated 09.10.2025, notice issued to the respondent has also been served through the H.R. Manager, namely, Mr. Vimal.

4. Thereafter, when the matter came up for hearing on 11.12.2025, no one appeared on behalf of the respondent and therefore, the matter was adjourned to today for final arguments. Today again, no one has appeared on behalf of the respondent.

5. Considering the arbitration clause in Annexure P-1 and the notice invoking the said arbitration clause, this Court is of the view that all the essential conditions for appointment of a Sole Arbitrator under Section 11 of the Act are satisfied.

6. In view of the above, the present application is allowed. Sh. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate, resident of House No.174, Sector 21-A, Chandigarh, Mobile No.-9872503300, e-mail ID-vchatrath@gmail.com, is nominated as the



Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

- 7. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by the learned Arbitrator at his convenience.
- 8. Fee shall be paid to the learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.
- 9. Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.
- 10. A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Sh. Vikas Chatrath, Senior Advocate.

22.12.2025
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No