



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108+217

CRM-39772-2025 in/&
CRM-M-35592-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.10.2025

Hardeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Raj Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

CRM-39772-2025

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant application.

Ordered accordingly.

CRM-M-35592-2025

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.26 dated 27.05.2025, registered under Section 7 of PC Act, at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Range Amritsar.
2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for about 5 months. He alleges false implication. He was a lineman in PSPCL and the transformer was to be installed in the field of the complainant, for which, some labour work was to be done and the amount was paid in the account for the said work. Challan was presented on 11.07.2025 and the charges were framed on



19.09.2025, however, out of 18 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined.

The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of demanding bribe and having received Rs.10,000/- in his account by way of Google Pay. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 5 months and 1 day; not involved in any other case; challan stands presented on 11.07.2025; charges have been framed on 19.09.2025 and there are a total of 18 prosecution witnesses, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.



- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

29.10.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No