



-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-19771-2024

Date of Decision :20.02.2025

Balwinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The Additional Inspector General of Police,
(Public Information Officer Admn,) Punjab
and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the information sought by the petitioner has already been supplied to him, which is clear from the order passed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, dated 02.09.2024.

2. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner. It can be safely presumed that as the petitioner has got the information sought, that is why, he is not interested in pursuing the present remedy any further.

3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

February 20, 2025

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No