



CRM-M-37629-2024 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

**CRM-M-37629-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.08.2025**

SHRI VYAS @ VASU SACHDEVA**... PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. S.S.Jattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

CRM-31377-2025

This application has been filed for placing on record the copies of zimni orders dated 23.12.2020 to 22.07.2020 passed by Id. Addl.Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and zimni orders dated 23.12.2020 to 22.07.2020 passed by Id. Addl.Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar are ordered to be taken on record.

Main case:

1. This petition has been filed for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of BNSS in case FIR No. 651 dated 11.11.2019 under Sections 302,201 of IPC and 25-54-59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the present FIR was registered on the statement of complainant Sanjay Oberoi who stated that on 10.11.2019, his cousin Varun Oberoi had his birthday and his friends namely Ravjit Singh, Kamaljit Singh @ Preet, Rahul Shrivastva and Vasu Sachdeva were present there. It is alleged that the petitioner had a scuffle with the cousin of the complainant i.e. Varun Oberoi and he gave knife blow in the stomach of his cousin.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The petitioner was earlier involved with his co-accused however, his co-accused were declared innocent and subsequently presented as prosecution witnesses, namely Rahul Shrivastva, Ravijit Singh, and Kamaljit Singh @ Preet. Furthermore, he contends that the prosecution has changed the entire narrative from the original FIR. Apart from that the petitioner has undergone a period of 05 years 06 months and 07 days. The petitioner is in custody since 04.02.2020.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Tapan Masta, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has filed the custody certificate of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per custody certificate, the the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 years 06 months and 07 days. On asking, he further submits out of 35 prosecution witnesses, only 21 witnesses have been examined.



6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the long incarceration of the petitioner; out of 35 prosecution witnesses, 21 witnesses have been examined so far and the petitioner is in custody for the 05 years 06 months and 07 days; since the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the applicant is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

18.08.2025

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No