



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(259)

CWP-18189-2025**Date of decision:- 30.10.2025****BALKAR SINGH****... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL**

Present:- Mr. HPS Ghumman, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. DS Lamba, AAG, Punjab.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner has approached this Court inter alia for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to provide water connection at his residential premises.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had a house in village Maghar Sahib, Tehsil and District Patiala and was getting water from connection bearing No.L-49 and P-785. He submits that the petitioner constructed a new house in the village and shifted there with his family. On 17.12.2024, petitioner made a request for shifting of water connection and deposited the requisite charges but respondents did not shift the connection despite the fact that a water pipeline for supplying water already existed. Counsel submits that the petitioner submitted a legal notice, Annexure P-3, but



respondents had given a vague reply.

3. Writ petition has been contested by the respondents by filing a short reply wherein it has been submitted that there is no provision for transfer of water connection and petitioner is required to apply for a fresh connection after clearing the arrears of the earlier connection. A specific stand has been taken that petitioner has never submitted an application for a new connection nor has he fulfilled the requisite formalities. It has been further submitted that the petitioner has concealed that he had filed a civil suit, Annexure R-1, bearing No.CS-777-2005 titled as Balkar Singh V/s Sinder Singh-Ex Sarpanch & Ors. for mandatory injunction for directing the respondents to transfer the old connection and install a new one at his residential house.

4. Having heard counsel for the parties and considering their respective submission, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is not entitled to any relief. Petitioner is not in a position to dispute that he has filed a civil suit, Annexure R-1, wherein one of the reliefs sought is for passing a decree for mandatory injunction directing defendant No.3 therein (Sub-Divisional Engineer, Water Supply and Sanitation, Devigarh Circle, Nabha Road, Patiala) to install/transfer water supply connection to his newly constructed house and for restraining defendants from interfering in the transfer/installation of water supply. It seems that the petitioner was unable to get any interim order from the trial Court and instead of taking the matter to its logical end, preferred the instant writ petition, without disclosing the pendency of the civil suit. It is evident that the petitioner has approached this Court with tainted hands. He has suppressed material facts which have a direct bearing on the decision of the



writ petition and petition deserves to be dismissed on this account.

5. Petition is dismissed on account of concealment of facts.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

30.10.2025
parul verma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No