



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP-23550-2019

Date of decision: 14.02.2025

SH. CHANDU LAL

...PETITIONER

Vs.

THE REGIONAL PROVIDENT FUND COMMISSION AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate and
Mr. Manoj Dhakhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Tangri, Advocate
for respondent No. 1-RPFC.

Mr. Rahul Tomar, Advocate,
Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Sunil Narang, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-SBI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the respondents submit that in view of amended Rules, the commutation of pension is permissible. Thus, the instant writ petition has become infructuous.
2. In the wake of statement made by counsel for the respondents, the instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3. The petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application within 3 months, if cause survives.

14.02.2025
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No