



CRM-M-34219-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-34219-2025
Decided on : 15.09.2025

SIKANDER SINGH . . . PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB . . . RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Ms. Ramandeep Kaur Brar, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Bal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sikander Singh, aged about 27 years	214	19.09.2024	115(2), 118(1), 190, 191(3), 238 of BNS, 2023	Samrala	Ludhiana

2. On 07.07.2025, following order was passed:-



CRM-M-34219-2025

“The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023, in case FIR No.214, dated 19.09.2024, under Section 115(2), 118(1), 190, 191(3), 238 of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that it is a case of version and cross-version. In the occurrence in question, both sides received injuries at the hands of each other. It has been submitted that as per the DDR recorded at the instance of opposite party, the petitioner inflicted a simple injury with an iron rod on the head of the injured.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. H.S.Deol, Senior DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. He prays for time to file reply.

Adjourned to 15.09.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and appear before the investigating agency as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C./482(2) of the BNSS, 2023”.

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 07.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by learned counsel for the petitioner of joining the

2025:PHHC:128065



CRM-M-34219-2025

investigation on 11.07.2025, by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 07.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. **Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.**

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025
Poonam Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No