



103

RA-CW-275-2025
IN
CWP-17852-2024
NAVEEN AND OTHERS
V/S
STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present: - Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Ratik Chatrath, Advocate and
Ms. Dhamanpreet Kaur, Advocate for the applicants
Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for non-applicants/petitioners
Mr. Raman Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

1. The applicants through instant application are seeking review of judgment dated 05.02.2025 passed by this Court in *CWP No.17852 of 2024*.

2. The candidature of the petitioners was rejected on the ground of alleged infirmity in the BC-A/BC-B Certificates furnished by them. The dispute was between the candidates and Haryana Staff Selection Commission which had rejected their candidature. The matter was contested by both sides with tooth and nail. After considering the submissions of both sides, a detailed judgment was passed. The operative portion of the said judgment reads as: -

“33. In the wake of above facts and findings, the above raised questions are answered as:

- i. In the absence of particular date in the rules or advertisement, last date prescribed for filing application for the advertised post is cut-off date. In the instant case, cut-off date for limited purpose i.e. to upload documents, was last date*



notified for filing application. The said date had no bearing with the date of BC certificate.

- ii. BC-A or BC-B certificate filed at the time of CET is valid for all intent and purposes. The respondent could not ask for fresh latest BC certificate at the 2nd stage of selection process. Three financial years came to involved in view of peculiar facts and circumstances, thus, BC certificates filed at the time of CET are valid.*
- iii. The respondent was bound to verify PPP in the absence of fresh latest BC certificate.*
- iv. No candidate could be transposed from BC category to general category on account of non-submission of fresh latest BC certificate at the second stage.*

34. In the above premise, all the petitions deserve to be allowed and accordingly allowed. The impugned orders are hereby set aside. To avoid the filing of further petitions, it is hereby made clear that benefit of this judgment would be available to all the candidates who have been transposed from BC to general category. No order as to costs.

35. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.”

3. The applicants herein neither were issued appointment letter nor had joined service by the date of filing writ petitions. They, at this stage, are claiming that they also belong to BC-A/BC-B Category and acceptance of candidature of the petitioners has resulted in ousting them.

4. From the perusal of pleadings and arguments of learned Senior counsel for the applicants, it comes out that the applicants want to reagitate all the issues which already stand adjudicated by this Court. This Court finds no reason to review the judgment dated 05.02.2025 rendered in CWP No.17852 of 2024.



RA-CW-275-2025 IN CWP-17852-2024

-3-

5. In the backdrop, the review application is hereby dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

04.07.2025
Mohit Kumar