



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

254+360

CRM-M-34832-2025

Date of Decision: 17.07.2025

(1) Karamjit Singh @ Golu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CRM-M-36093-2025

(2) Jagjeet @ Judge

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-34832-2025.

Mr. Mohit, Advocate &
Ms. Pavitra Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-36093-2025.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose off two bail petitions, i.e., CRM-M-34832-2025 titled as “**Karamjit Singh @ Golu Vs. State of Haryana**” and CRM-M-36093-2025 titled as “**Jagjeet @ Judge Vs. State of Haryana**”, whereby, the petitioner(s) have applied for grant



of regular bail under Section 483 of the BNSS in case FIR No.245 dated 07.10.2021 under Sections 302, 34 IPC, 1860 & under Section 25 Arms Act (Annexure P-1) (Sections 147, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

2. Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant in both the cases by filing his memo of appearance, which are taken on record.

3. Learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner in CRM-M-34832-2025 submits that the petitioner was not initially named in the FIR and was named as accused in the present case in the supplementary statement of the complainant. Even his case is at par with the case of Harkaran Singh @ Karan, co-accused, who has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court Vide order dated 26.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-5377-2025 (Annexure P-6). The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 21.10.2021 and is in custody for the last more than 03 years and 09 months. Even the prosecution has examined 12 witnesses out of total 42 witnesses and the trial may not conclude in near future. Learned Senior counsel further submits that all the material witnesses have been examined by the prosecution and the petitioner may not be in a position to influence the witnesses of the prosecution.

4. On the other hand learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner in CRM-M-36093-2025 also raised similar arguments



and submitted that his case is at par with Vikram Nain, co-accused, who has been granted the concession of bail by this Court on 04.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-31329-2025. Even 06 live cartridges were allegedly recovered from the petitioner, which do not connect him in the commission of crime in any manner. He further submits that co-accused Sukha, Parveen, Harkaran Singh @ Karan and Vikram Nain have been granted the concession of bail by the Court and on parity, the petitioner is also entitled to release on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s) on the ground that petitioner in CRM-M-34832-2025 is facing 03 more criminal cases and petitioner in CRM-M-36093-2025 is facing 02 more criminal cases and they do not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. In the present case, even the prosecution could not dispute the fact that the case of the petitioner in CRM-M-34832-2025 is at par with the case of Harkaran Singh @ Karan, co-accused, who has been granted the concession of bail by this Court Vide order dated 26.05.2025 (Annexure P-6), whereas, the case of the petitioner in CRM-M-36093-2025 is at parity with Vikram Nain, co-accused, who



has been also admitted to bail by this Court. Moreover, the petitioner(s) are in custody for the last more than 03 years and 09 months and 03 years and 02 months respectively. All the material witnesses have been examined by the prosecution and there are no chances of tampering with the prosecution witnesses. Thus, the further custody of the petitioner(s) will not serve any useful purpose.

8. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner(s) are ordered to be released on bail pending trial on their furnishing bail bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/ Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate subject to the following conditions:-

(i) The petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade them to disclose such facts to the Court or to any other authority.

(ii) The petitioner(s) shall remain present before the Court on the dates fixed for hearing of the case.

(iii) The petitioner(s) shall not absent themselves from the Court proceedings except on the prior permission of the Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner(s) shall surrender their passport, if any, (if already not surrendered), and in case they are not holder of the same, they shall swear an affidavit to that effect.

(v) The petitioner(s) shall also file their affidavit before the concerned Court, mentioning their ordinary place of

residence and number of mobile phone, which shall be used by them during the pendency of the trial. In case of change of place of residence/mobile number, they shall share the details with the concerned Court/learned Trial Court.

(vi) In case, the petitioner(s) are involved in any other criminal activity, during the pendency of the trial, it shall be viewed seriously and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail granted to the present petitioner(s).

(vii) The concerned Court may insist on two heavy local sureties and may also impose any other condition, in accordance with law, while accepting the bails bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner(s).

9. In case, the petitioners violates any of the conditions mentioned above, it shall be viewed seriously and the concession of bail granted to them shall be liable to be cancelled and the prosecution shall be at liberty to move an application in this regard.

17.07.2025
vipin

Whether reasoned/speaking :
Whether reportable :

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE
Yes/No
Yes/No