



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**325****CRM-M-37703-2024****Date of decision: 15.01.2025**

Harpal Singh and others

....Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Viren Sibal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.20 dated 01.03.2024 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 66-C, 66-D of IT Act, 2000 registered at Police Station Basti Jodhewal, District Ludhiana and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 16.07.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 05.08.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 05.11.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana in pursuance of the directions of this Court,



wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties (in original), alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

January 15, 2025  
Poonam

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |