



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CWP-28117-2018

Date of Decision: 15.02.2025

Lovejot Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. O.S. Batalvi and Mr. Daman Batalvi, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider him in District Cadre (Male).
2. The petitioner pursuant to advertisement applied for the post of Constable. As per advertisement, he could be allotted Punjab Armed Police (PAP) or District Police Cadre. He achieved cut off meant for PAP as well as District Cadre. In his application form, he had given preference to PAP. As in the application form he had given preference to PAP, the recruitment agency assigned him PAP. At this stage, he is claiming that he should be assigned District Police because he was eligible for PAP as well as District Police.
3. Mr. Batalvi disputes the fact that petitioner had given preference for PAP.
4. From the perusal of record, it is evident that it was the petitioner who had given preference for PAP. Thus, recruitment agency was left with no option except to allot him PAP. There is no infirmity on the part of respondent.
5. Dismissed.

15.02.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE