

**CWP-8672-2016****1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-8672-2016****Date of decision : February 24, 2025**

Rajesh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana

Mr. Abhishek Arora, Advocate for

Mr. Sumit Jain, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 2 to 4

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent No. 5

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of mandamus to official respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner on account of death of her husband due to electrocution.

2. What surfaced during the course of arguments is that the petitioner, has not approached the authority concerned, at the first instance. The respondent Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd., has already adopted a policy dated 15.1.2024, where through, even a private person can approach the authority concerned, as mentioned in the policy, in case of any death/injury on account of electrocution, therefore, the petitioner is relegated to the authority concerned. In case, the petitioner within a period of one month from today, approaches the authority concerned, by filing an



CWP-8672-2016

2

apt motion, the latter shall make an endeavour to decide the said motion, within two months thereafter.

3. The instant petition is **disposed of**, accordingly.

February 24, 2025
'tiwana'

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable ?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>