



210-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2796-2018

Date of Decision: 09.07.2025

Seema Rani

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 07.02.2018, the following order was passed:

“Contends, inter alia, that the petitioner was engaged as Part time Sweeper on contract basis against a sanctioned post in April, 2007. And, therefore, she has served the respondents for over 10 years. Thus, the petitioner is entitled to continue in service till she is replaced by a regularly selected candidate, whereas she is sought to be replaced by another contractee or a daily wager. Not just that, she is also not being paid full salary/wages, and is rather being asked to get herself register with SIS Agency/Contractor.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana and Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, present in court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and respondent No.2 & 3,

respectively. Copies furnished.

Learned counsel for the respondents pray for a short accommodation to file the written statements.

Adjourned to 01.05.2018.

Written statement, if any, be filed a week prior to the next date of hearing with an advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall not be replaced by a daily wager or a candidate hired on contract basis till the adjourned date of hearing.”

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that since 2007, the petitioner is working with respondent on part time basis.
3. Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate submits that in view of orders passed by this Court, the petitioner is still working and she would not be replaced by any contractual employee provided that she every year signs service agreement and does not involve in misconduct.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners agrees with the aforesaid arrangement.
5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands *disposed of*.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.07.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No