



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-34638-2025

Date of Decision:-08.09.2025

Satish Kumar @ Laddi.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Aruz Khan, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.172 dated 09.12.2024 under Sections 296, 332(c), 115(2), 118(1), (118(2), 351(2), 191(2), 191(3), 190 and 238 (added later on) of BNSS Act, 2023 registered at P.S. Nangal, District Rupnagar.

2. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Ashok Kumar son of Sh. Joginder Pal and reads as under:-

“ Stated that I am resident of above mentioned address, we are 2 brothers. I, my brother's name Narinder Pal, who has gone abroad. Yesterday on 4.12.2024, was in my village Darohli Uppar itself then at about 1 O'clock, I in my field was leveling my land in the field with the machine, I heard lot of noise towards my locality. When I went towards the same then in the house of



Dharampal son of Bhola Nath, Satish Kumar Laddi, Ashwani Kumar sons Avtar Chand, Kamaldev his wife Reshima Devi, Kamaldev's daughter Nisha Devi and Satish Kumar Laddi's wife Sonia, by entering in the house of Dharampal were abusing him in loud voice and were loudly saying that pick the bricks from our land. During this, Satish Kumar @ Laddi hit some sharp thing in the head of Balwinder Kaur mother of Dharampal, due to which she was injured. In order to take the injured to the hospital, I telephonically called Narinder Singh son of Kashmir Singh, when we were waiting on the road for vehicle, these persons again attacked Balwinder Kaur and her daughter-in-law (Nuh) Rozy, during the attack on the road, Manjit Kaur wife of Avtar Chand was also involved. When I was rescuing them while running then these persons also attacked me. Satish Kumar Laddi gave a blow with gandasi on my head and during this fight, Ashwani Kumar son of Avtar Chand was having kirch type pointed thing in his hand, who again attacked on the head of Balwinder Kaur, due to which Balwinder Kaur was more injured and fell down and became unconscious and we by putting her in the vehicle of Narinder Singh along with me were got admitted in BBMB Hospital. In this fight, due to suffering injuries in my head and on the head of my aunt (chahi) balwinder Kaur wife of Bhola Nath and on both the arms of Rozy wife of Dharampal, she is also admitted in BBMB Hospital Nangal. All of us for treatment were taken by Krishan Kumar son of Subhash Chand, Sita Devi wife of Subhash Chand, Vivek Rattan son of Prem Kumar, who were present on the spot, who rescued us from them and for treatment by making arrangement of private vehicle got admitted in BBMB Hospital Nangal. Reason behind the grudge is that there is our



case with them regarding the land pending in the Hon'ble court and with SDM Nangal. Due to this grudge, by making excuse to me of leveling the land, in collusion with each other with deadly weapons by attacking on us, have injured us. Appropriate legal action be taken against them. Got written the statement, heard it, which is correct. Sd/- Ashok Kumar."

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Taking the allegations to be correct, only a simple injury on the complainant and grievous injury on the person of Balwinder Kaur have been attributed to the petitioner. As he is a first time offender, in custody since 17.04.2025 but none of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State on the other hand has filed Status report dated 06.09.2025 in the form of an affidavit of Mr. Kulbir Singh Sandhu, PPS, DSP, Nangal, District Rupnagar in the court today, which is taken on record. While referring to the same, he contends that the allegations levelled against the petitioner do not entitle him to the concession as prayed for. He however concedes that the petitioner is a first time offender, in custody since 17.04.2025 and that none of the 20 has been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 17.04.2025 and none of the 20 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the



present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. Hence, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not warranted.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Satish Kumar @ Laddi** son of Sh. Avtar Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 08, 2025
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>