



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-29401-2017

Date of Decision: 27.11.2025

JABARJUNG SINGH

...Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate (*through V.C.*) and
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Bhogal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 19.12.2013 (Annexure P-3) whereby his pension was stopped w.e.f. 30.03.2013 and order dated 19.07.2017 whereby his representation for restoration of pension was rejected.

2. Learned State counsel submits that State has already resorted provisional pension of the petitioner, thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid statement, however, submits that petitioner may be granted liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law after adjudication of his appeal against conviction.

4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous with aforesaid liberty.

5. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No