



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-34813-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.07.2025

Monika

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Siddarth, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI – Ravi.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-
arrest bail to petitioner in case FIR No. 27 dated 03.02.2025, under
Sections 121(1), 132 and 221 of the Bhartiya Nayaya Sanhita, 2023,
registered at Police Station Civil Line, District Sonipat.

(2) Allegations are that petitioner alongwith other co-accused
obstructed the *de facto* complainant Harvir Singh from discharging his
official duties.



(3) Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 07.07.2025 and in pursuance thereof, she has already joined investigation; hence, her custodial interrogation is not required.

(4) The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel and on instructions from ASI Ravi submits that her custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

(5) Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(6) It transpires that while issuing notice of motion, petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court on 07.07.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Notice of motion.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent; seeks time to have instructions and/or to file written response in the matter.

Posted for 10.07.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer; but she be not arrested till the next date of hearing.

(7) It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, her custodial interrogation is not required.

(8) In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to petitioner. Consequently, present



petition is allowed; interim order dated 07.07.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

(9) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(10) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.

(11) It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

10.07.2025

kavneet singh

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No