



ESA-36-2014(O&amp;M)

1

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

ESA-36-2014(O&amp;M)

Date of decision:02.07.2025

Satpal

..Appellant

Versus

Ajay Kumar and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL**

Present: Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate for the appellant

**ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (Oral)**

1. The appellant assails the correctness of the concurrent orders passed by the Executing Court, which in appeal, have been affirmed by the First Appellate Court, while dismissing his objection petition in an execution petition. The appellant is son of one of the judgment debtors. The appellant claims that he was in possession of the property as a tenant. It is evident that one of the judgment debtors is his mother. No cogent and reliable material has been produced on record to prove the tenancy. There was a decree for possession by way of specific performance of the agreement to sell in favour of the decree-holders. Pursuant to the aforesaid decree, not only the sale deed has been registered, even the possession has been delivered to the decree-holder.
2. Learned counsel representing the appellant has failed to draw the attention of the Court to any substantive error committed by the courts below while dismissing his objection petition.



ESA-36-2014(O&M)                      2

- 3.                      Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.
- 4.                      Hence, dismissed.
- 5.                      All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)  
JUDGE

02.07.2025

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| rekha                     |        |
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |