



103

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.35774 of 2025**

**Date of Decision: 29.07.2025**

**Gurpreet Singh**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab**

**....Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.**

1. Present third petition has been filed praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.23, dated 01.02.2022, under Sections 341, 326, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner initially was granted the concession of interim bail by this Court vide order dated 17.05.2022 passed in CRM-M-16702-2022 with a specific direction, however, he could not join the investigation and thus, the same was allowed to be dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 01.09.2022. Hence, he again approached this Court by way of filing of CRM-M-43900-2022 praying for the grant of bail but the same was declined by this Court vide order dated 22.09.2022 on merits. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and he submits that



petitioner could not join the investigation due to his health condition, however, he is ready to join the investigation thus, the present third petition is maintainable and he deserves to be granted bail.

3. Heard.

4. On hearing of the counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is apparent that this is the third petition filed by the petitioner praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The petitioner was initially granted interim bail on 17.05.2022 but he failed to join the investigation. Learned counsel for the petitioner failed to give any satisfactory explanation regarding the non-compliance of the order passed by this Court. The second petition filed by the petitioner being CRM-M-43900-2022 was dismissed on merits vide order dated 22.09.2022. Thereafter, he again approached this Court by way of present third petition. Thus it is apparent that the submissions made before this Court by learned counsel for the petitioner had already been taken into consideration by this Court while dealing with his earlier petitions.

5. There is no gainsaying that the present petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for the grant of anticipatory bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to convince this Court on the change of circumstances on the basis of which, the present petition could be entertained. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843** has held that successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained on specious ground of 'Changed Circumstances'.



6. Thus this Court is of the opinion that the present petition is not maintainable and hence, the same being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

29.07.2025

*ps-I*

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |