



116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-2289-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP No.18110 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 17-02-2025**

SHANU KANOJIA AND OTHERS**.....Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS****.....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. A.S Talwar, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.2 and 3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

CM-2289-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for listing the main case i.e.
CWP No.18110 of 2024 to an early actual date of hearing.

Notice of the present application to the counsel opposite.

Mr.T.P.S Chawla, Sr. DAG Punjab, who is present in the Court,
accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection for the
grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the above, application is allowed and the main
petition i.e. CWP No.18110 of 2024 is taken up for consideration today
itself.

CWP No.18110 of 2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the relief of the minimum of the pay scale as is being claimed has already been allowed in favour of the petitioners by the respondents vide order dated 03.12.2024 hence, the only question which needs consideration and adjudication upon is whether, the petitioners are entitled for their arrears as well keeping in view the initial date of their appointment.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the benefit claimed by petitioners regarding the arrears will be considered keeping in view the outcome of the Special Leave Petition preferred by the State of Punjab pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India but only if, the right of the petitioners crystallise in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, by passing appropriate speaking order after the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to raise appropriate claim for arrears of salary, in case, the petitioners get the right to claim the said benefit after the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India so as to claim the same from their date of initial appointment.

4. Ordered accordingly.

5. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

17-02-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO