



CWP-17776-2025 (O&M)

-1-

146

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17776-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.07.2025**

Rinku

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present : Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSH BUNGER, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India *inter alia* seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside order dated 10.06.2024 (Annexure P-9) passed by learned Collector, Beri, order dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure P-11) passed by learned Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and Sanad Taksim dated 06.05.2025 (Annexure P-12).

2. Briefly, on 20.09.2021, respondents No.3 to 5 filed an application seeking partition of joint land comprised in Khewat No.250/208 (as per *jamabandi* for the year 2019-20) situated at Village Chimni, Tehsil Beri, District Jhajjar.

2.1 The petitioner appeared in the aforesaid partition proceedings and submitted his reply and thereafter on 12.05.2023, the Mode of Partition



CWP-17776-2025 (O&M)

-2-

was prepared and objections thereto were called.

2.2 The petitioner appears to have submitted his objections (Annexure P-3) and on 23.06.2023 (Annexure P-4) an amended Mode of Partition was accepted and *Naksha 'Bey'* was called from the field staff.

2.3 Upon receipt of *Naksha 'Bey'*, the petitioner along with proforma respondents submitted his objections (Annexure P-5) to the *Naksha 'Bey'*, however, it appears that the objections raised by the petitioner did not find favour with the learned Assistant Collector and he approved the *Naksha 'Bey'* on 01.12.2023.

2.4 Thereafter, the petitioner again raised objections dated 08.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) to *Naksha 'Bey'*, however the said objections were rejected vide order dated 22.12.2023 (Annexure P-8).

2.5 Being dissatisfied, the petitioner challenged the order dated 22.12.2023 (Annexure P-8) by filing an appeal before the learned Collector, Beri, however, the said appeal was dismissed vide order dated 10.06.2024 (Annexure P-9).

2.6 Still aggrieved, the petitioner preferred a Revision before the learned Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak, however, the same has also been dismissed vide impugned order dated 05.05.2025 (Annexure P-11). In the meantime, learned Assistant Collector has issued the Sanad Taksim dated 06.05.2025 (Annexure P-12).

3. In the aforementioned circumstances the petitioner has filed the instant writ petition before this Court for seeking relief(s) as noticed hereinabove.

4. The only contention raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner had installed tube-wells in Rectangle No.32, Killa No.11 and Rectangle No.33, Killa No.15/2.

**CWP-17776-2025 (O&M)****-3-**

5. During the course of hearing, the attention of learned counsel for the petitioner was drawn to Annexure P-6 (*Aks Shajra* attached to *Naksha 'Bey'*), wherein the area shown in 'orange' colour has been allocated to the petitioner along with other co-sharers, which has been kept joint.

6. Apparently, some part of the area comprised in Rectangle No.32, Killa No.11 and Rectangle No.33, Killa No.15/2 already stands allotted to the *kurras* (blocks) of petitioner.

7. In this view of the matter, I find no illegality or perversity in the impugned order(s). Accordingly, the instant writ petition, being devoid of any merit, is dismissed.

8. All pending application(s), if any, shall also stand closed.

03.07.2025*Himani***(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No