



227                    **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18572-2024**

Date of Decision: **21.02.2025**

Guru Dev

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

**Present:** Mr. Sumbhav Parmar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Tanu Bedi, Advocate and  
Ms. Hanima Grewal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with  
Ms. Krishna Dayama, Senior Panel Counsel,  
for respondent Nos.5 and 6 (UOI).

\*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)**

1. Through the instant petition prayer has been made for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to provide compensation to the tune of Rs.50 lacs to the petitioner being an acid attack victim as envisaged under the Haryana Victim Compensation Scheme, 2020 (Part-1) dated 01.06.2020 (Annexure P-4).

2. It is informed to this Court by the learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 3, that under the Haryana Victim Compensation Scheme, the petitioner has already been provided an amount of Rs.3 lakh, vide letter No.2280 dated 06.11.2024, as issued by the District Legal Services Authority, Gurugram. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.5 and 6, further informs this Court that even the financial assistance in view of the Prime Minister

Natural Relief Fund Scheme, has also been released, vide which an amount of Rs.1 lakh has been transferred in the account of the petitioner, and this fact is recorded in the letter dated 17.01.2024, as issued by the Prime Minister's office. Copies of these letters are taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also entitled for other relief as well, which has not been granted by the authority i.e. respondent Nos.2 and 3.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.2 and 3, also submits that since the petitioner has an alternative remedy, to challenge the order passed by the authority concerned i.e. before respondent No.2, by filing an appeal.

5. In view of the above fact, petitioner is relegated to respondent No.2-State Legal Services Authority concerned. In case, petitioner approaches the authority concerned, within one month from today, the concerned authority shall make all its endeavour to decide his appeal, if so preferred, within a period of 02 months thereafter.

6. **Dismissed as withdrawn** with liberty aforesaid.

21.02.2025  
Parveen kumar

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No  
Whether reportable :Yes/No